G. Combs, W. Nicola, S. Pigott, J. A. Murphy, D. L. Modray, R. A. Dacomba

Production of PIR laminate boardstock insulation with Ecomate blowing agent

Changes in the regulatory environment over the last 25 years have challenged manufacturers of polyisocyanurate (PIR) laminate boardstock insulation to adapt to the use of alternative blowing agents for production of rigid foam. The transition from CFC's to HCFC's to hydrocarbons and HFC's has prompted various formulation and processing modifications to address unique issues for each system. One alternative, non-halogenated blowing agent that has received little attention in this application in the USA is methyl formate, a major component of Ecomate blowing agent sold under license by Foam Supplies, Inc. It has a lower thermal conductivity and higher LEL than n-pentane and should provide boards with better R-values that require less flame retardant to pass E-84 tunnel and FM 4450 calorimeter tests for use in roofing and wall insulation. However, this novel blowing agent has a reported tendency to plasticize the foam resulting in potential shrinkage when not properly optimized. This paper describes work done in collaboration with Foam Supplies on the Bayer pilot scale laminator in Pittsburgh to define formulation and processing conditions needed to make acceptable PIR laminate boardstock insulation based on US production standards.

1. Introduction

There had been a lot of concern in the polyiso (PIR) insulation industry about finding suitable replacements for the CFC's and HCFC's that were being phased out in accordance with federal regulations enacted in 1990 in response to the Montreal Protocol of September 1987. This treaty provides a timetable for global reduction in production of ozone-depleting chemicals and their eventual elimination. Thanks to a very aggressive R&D program by the industry and its suppliers, acceptable formulations based on hydrocarbon blowing agents were developed in time to meet the January 2003 deadline for removing HCFC's in these products. Interestingly, another legislative initiative, the Energy Policy Act of 1992, has provided the industry with an opportunity to leverage the superior performance of polyiso insulation to reduce energy consumption in the USA and lower greenhouse gas emissions. This law was passed in the wake of the Gulf War and contained a broad range of provisions designed to promote energy efficiency, energy conservation, competition in the electric power generation industry, the use of renewable energy, and to assess the financial impact of addressing greenhouse gas emissions. This was the first instance where the federal government directed the use of voluntary building standards developed by ASHRAE and IECC as reference standards for state building energy codes to improve the energy efficiency of commercial and residential buildings. This Act also required the US Department of Energy to determine if subsequent changes in ASHRAE and IECC standards resulted in sufficient energy efficiency improvements to require states to upgrade their building codes. Although PIR rigid foam has been a material of choice for roofing insulation above deck for years, new opportunities have developed for use of this product as continuous insulation in walls of commercial buildings. However, fire code test requirements unique to wall applications pose new challenges for manufacturers seeking product approvals. We decided to evaluate Ecomate as a blowing agent in PIR foam formulations to determine if the differences in thermal conductivity and flammability between this material and other hydrocarbons translated into foam products with better K-factors that require less flame retardant to pass ASTM E-84 tunnel and FM 4450 roof calorimeter tests.

Since there have been disruptions in phosphorus supplies over the years that have led to changes in the use cost-effectiveness of flame retardants, the higher Lower Explosive Limit (LEL) of Ecomate as shown in **table 1** may be utilized to mitigate this problem. Another reason for doing this work is to determine if Ecomate/n-pentane blends can be used to replace pentane in the event of reduced availability of this blowing agent. Pentane is used as a diluent for the bitumen and heavy crude oils derived from the tar sands of Canada and there was some concern in early 2012 that this new outlet for pentane might create a shortage for the chemical [1]. Fortunately, hydraulic fracturing (fracking) of shale deposits for natural gas has resulted in more pentane and light condensates, but drillers could focus on "dry" wells which are nearly free of pentanes and light condensates in the future and thus change the supply situation.

The objective of this paper is to provide formulations and processing details for the production of PIR boardstock with Ecomate on a pilot-scale laminator that has been proven to scale well with commercial units. In particular, the effect of Ecomate usage level, isocyanate content, index, foam density, and polyol functionality on eliminating foam shrinkage was studied. The authors did

George Combs
george.combs@bayer.com
William Nicola, Susan Pigott
Bayer MaterialScience, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

John A. Murphy, David L. Modray, Raul A. Dacomba
Foam Supplies, Inc., Earth City, MO, USA

Paper, Polyurethanes 2013 Technical Conference, 23–25 September 2013, Phoenix, AZ, USA, CPI, Center for the Polyurethanes Industry

Published with kind permission of CPI, Center for the Polyurethanes Industry, Washington, DC, USA

not evaluate different types of polyester polyols in this study. Standard foam physical properties were measured in accordance with ASTM C 1289 and Long Term Thermal Resistance (LTTR) values are reported for the samples as determined using CAN/ULC S770-09. Samples were submitted to Underwriters Laboratories for ASTM E-84 (UL 723) testing and to FM Approvals for testing on the Roof Calorimeter in accordance with FM4450.

2. Laminator operation

2.1 Safety considerations

The laminator at the Bayer Pittsburgh site employs n-pentane, isopentane, and cyclopentane in the production of rigid foam boards and Draeger Polytron IR 7000 detectors monitor the work area for actionable levels of any of these chemicals. A single Draeger unit is positioned at each of five different points around the machine. Even though Ecomate is less flammable than our normal blowing agents, the detectors had to be properly calibrated such that an appropriate response to each gas would occur to ensure that alarms would be activated well before explosive limits were reached. **Figure 1** illustrates the relative sensitivity of the Draeger devices as configured for the Pittsburgh laminator with cyclopentane as the target gas represented by the 45° line. Note that methyl formate and pentane read "high" as compared to the actual value, providing an extra margin of safety. Foam Supplies recommends using seals made from polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) or Kalrez with neat Ecomate to avoid leaks and Bayer has found that gasket fittings comprised of Viton or EPDM can suffer slight to moderate degradation with hydrocarbon blends containing more than about 40 % Ecomate.

Ecomate has an NFPA (US National Fire Protection Association) health rating of 2, flammability rating of 4, and a reactivity rating of 0 while the respective ratings for the hydrocarbon blowing agents are 1, 4, and 0. OSHA (US Occupational Safety and Health Administration) has established permissible exposure limits for methyl formate at 100 ppm TWA (Time Weighted Average) with a STEL (Short Term Exposure Limit) at 150 ppm and at 1,000 ppm (TWA) for pentane.



◀ ***Fig. 1:*** *Draeger gas detector cross sensitivities for pentane, cyclopentane, and methyl formate*

▼ ***Tab. 1:*** *Comparative properties of PIR blowing agents*

	n-Penane	Isopentane	Cyclopentane	Ecomate
MWt	72	72	70	60
LEL / vol %	1.5	1.4	1.1	5.0
Boiling point / °C	36	28	49	32
Lambda / mW/mK	14	14	11	10.7
Flash point / °C	–40	–51	–37	–19
Ozone Depletion Potential (ODP)	0	0	0	0
Global Warming Potential (GWP)	<25	<25	<25	<25
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Exempt

2.2 Machine configuration

The laminator is approximately 26 feet (~8 m) long and equipped with a single mix-head which makes boards that are 30 inches (~76 cm) wide. The mix-head is outfitted with a two-stream "T" made with CPVC piping. The B side resin was premixed with the third-streamed blowing agent or blowing agent blend inline via a special Triple Action Dispersion Device (TADD) from Komax, Inc.

The mixture enters the static mixer and exits the mix-head after being subjected to impingement mixing at 2,000 psi. The conditions used for foams made in this study were as follows:

- Total feed rate: 22 – 58 lbs/min (~10–26 kg/min)
- Resin temperature: 82 °F (~28 °C)
- Isocyanate temperature: 82 °F (~28 °C)
- Platen temperature: 145 °F (~63 °C)
- Line speed: 35 – 39 ft/min (~10–12 m/min)

The nominal thickness for boards tested in accordance with E-84 (UL 723) was 3 inches (~7.6 cm) and 1.5 inches (~3.8 cm) for foam samples tested in accordance with the Roof Calorimeter requirement of FM4450. Conventional black facer was used in all experiments and each board was perforated on the top surface using an adjustable weighted spiked roller and on the bottom surface with a fixed spiked roller as it exited the unit. The laminated rigid foam samples were cut manually to slightly more than 8 feet (~2.4 m) in length using a handsaw.

2.3 Sample collection

Normally, boards are stacked in bundles approximately 35 inches (~89 cm) high to cool overnight. However, since we wanted to evaluate edge shrinkage that might be ob-

served in a commercial laminator equipped with side saws, two boards from the middle of the run were cut to 24 inches (~61 cm) widths by cutting 3 inches (~7.6 cm) from each edge with a bandsaw as soon as they were taken from the laminator. The freshly cut boards were placed back in the middle of the stack so that they would experience the same heat history as the other material. The next day, the edge cut samples were examined to determine the extent of any shrinkage and boards without edge cuts were used to obtain samples for initial k-factor measurements, samples (49 inches x 24 inches) (~125 cm x 61 cm) for standard physical property testing, and sample retains (24 inches x 24 inches) (61 cm x 61 cm). Samples are aged at least seven days before any physical property testing is performed.

3. Testing protocols

3.1 Bayer alpha mini tunnel test

Performance in this small scale tunnel test roughly correlates to results obtained in the Steiner tunnel used to conduct ASTM E-84 testing. Facer material is shaved from the bottom of foam samples that are cut to dimensions of 6 ⅞ inches x 48 inches x 1 inch (~15.6 cm x 122 cm x 2.54 cm). Multiple foam samples of equal length can be used for a total length of 48 inches (~122 cm). Typically, three sample sections 16 inches (~40.6 cm) long are used to simulate the three 8 feet (~2.4 m) long samples commonly used in the full scale test. The sample sections are placed in the tunnel and ignited by the burner that is positioned such that the flame tip is 14 inches (~35.6 cm) from the start end of the tunnel. Progression of the flame from the burning foam along the tunnel is recorded at timed intervals for 60 s by an operator observing through windows installed in the tunnel "floor". The operator actually monitors the flame by looking at the flame reflection in an angled mirror positioned underneath clear window "floor" of the raised tunnel apparatus. An optical sensor in the tunnel ventilation system gathers data for 3 min that is used to calculate the smoke index. The Flame Spread Constant of a 48 inch (~122 cm) sample (FSC_{48}) is calculated using the following equation:

$$\frac{\text{Average distance} - 14}{FSC_{48}} = \frac{29.9 - 14}{22}$$

Based on historical comparisons of results obtained for samples tested in both the Steiner tunnel at Underwriters Laboratories and the Bayer alpha mini tunnel, a FSC_{48} of 28 or less and a smoke index of 200 or less is expected to correspond to an E-84 flame spread index of 25 or less with a smoke index of 450 or less. The alpha tunnel test does not correlate as well with foam samples having a Flame Spread Index (FSI) greater than 35 in the large scale ASTM E-84 tunnel test since the flame spread of such foams usually exceeds 48 inches (~122 cm) in the laboratory tunnel.

3.2 Bayer muffle furnace test

This test was designed to compare the behavior of test materials to that of controls that are known to pass requirements of the Factory Mutual Roof Calorimeter test (FM 4450). A laminated foam sample with dimensions of 4 inches x 4 inches x up to 2.5 inches (~10 cm x 10 cm x up to 6.4 cm) is completely wrapped in aluminum foil. The mass of the foil and foam are recorded separately along with the foam height. A small muffle furnace containing a removable open top metal compartment sized to hold the foam sample is preheated to 450 °C. The oven is opened briefly to insert the foil-wrapped foam sample into the metal holder and the foam is heated for 20 min at 450 °C. The metal holder is removed from the oven and allowed to cool. The weight of foil-wrapped foam sample is recorded before gently un-wrapping the foam to measure and record its residual height at the sample's thinnest section of remaining foam. Also, the height of any foam area free of char is recorded. Test results are reported as % weight lost, % height retained, and the amount of "no char" in inches. The results are compared to those of a control sample that is known to pass the Roof Calorimeter test with the expectation that the experimental sample will pass also if its results are equal to or better than those of the control. For new formulations without controls, a minimum height retention of 40–50 % is targeted to ensure good performance in the full-scale test.

3.3 Long Term Thermal Resistance (LTTR)

Method CAN/ULC S770-09 for determination of LTTR for closed cell foams has been

▼ **Tab. 2:** *Preliminary experiments with Ecomate*

Sample ID	% Ecomate	% Pentane	Foam density	% water	% isocyanate	Index	Shrinkage	FSC_{48}	Smoke	1 month k	6 months k
1*	3.54	0.00	2.08	0.43	67.2	300	None	24	160	0.166	0.192
2*	4.33	0.00	1.88	0.51	65.1	300	Severe				
3	2.79	1.20	1.81	0.47	64.6	300	Very slight	26	76	0.165	0.183
4*	3.43	1.47	1.77	0.50	64.7	300	Severe				
5*	2.44	2.44	1.71	0.45	68.0	350	None	29	112	0.175	0.195
6	2.54	1.09	1.71	0.59	69.2	300	None	31	367	0.170	0.191
7	3.51	0.00	1.81	0.59	69.3	300	Moderate				
8	2.56	3.19	1.71	0.13	62.9	350	None	29	97	0.171	0.180
9**	0	5.87	1.68	0.14	59.7	300	None	34	89	0.166	0.171

* 20 % of polyol component has fn = 6; ** Pentane control

described elsewhere [2]. For this study, two boards were taken from the center of the foam bundle within 48 h of production after cooling and three 2 feet x 2 feet (~61 cm x 61 cm) samples were cut from each board and sealed. A total of six 12 inches x 12 inches (~30.5 cm x 30.5 cm) samples were cut from the 2 feet x 2 feet (~61 cm x 61 cm) foot sections within 10–14 days of manufacture and thermal conductivity was measured on five of them to obtain an average R-value for the boards. Then, the 12 inches x 12 inches (~30.5 cm x 30.5 cm) pieces were cut to obtain four core and four surface slices with a minimum foam thickness of at least 10 mm for LTTR measurements. It should be noted that this test method requires that two homogeneity checks be performed to eliminate sample collection or slicing protocols where differences greater than 12 % are observed between the R-value for either surface or core slices and the average initial R-value for the board or where values greater than 12 % are observed between the difference in aging factors for the surface and core slices and their mean. In our tests, two of the samples failed both homogeneity checks, one sample failed the R-value check, and one sample passed both checks. Neverthe-

▼ **Tab. 3:** *Formulations for exterior wall and roofing applications*

Formulation % on total	Exterior wall applications				Roofing applications			
	A	B*	C	D	E	F	G	H*
PS 2352	22.90	23.26	22.17	22.50	24.93	24.85	28.16	31.79
TCPP	7.68	5.81	4.95	3.81	3.99	3.98	4.00	4.01
Tegostab B–8465	0.56	0.58						
Tegostab B–8513			0.55	0.56	0.62	0.62	0.70	0.79
Potassium octoate	1.18	1.15	1.66	1.66	1.41	1.41	1.41	1.42
Potassium acetate	0.23	0.18	0.26	0.26	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.23
PMDETA	0.20	0.09	0.13	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.11
Water	0.46	0.47	0.44	0.45	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.16
n–Pentane		3.42	2.24	2.22	3.19	3.35	3.07	5.80
Ecomate	2.31		1.84	1.81	2.61	2.74	2.51	
Total polyol side	35.52	34.97	34.24	33.40	37.21	37.41	40.33	44.31
Mondur 489	64.48	65.03	65.76	66.60	62.79	62.59	59.67	55.69
Index	3.00	3.00	3.10	3.10	3.53	3.53	3.00	2.50
Physical properties								
Board thickness / inches	3	2	3	3	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Density / pcf								
Core foam	2.21	2.07	1.70	1.72	1.76	1.68	1.76	1.73
Overall foam	2.34	2.20	1.82	1.81	1.92	1.87	1.94	1.94
Compressive strength @ 10 % / psi	17	20	16	18	16	16	14	14
Dimensional stability laminate 12 inches x 12 inches								
70 °C, 100 % RH 7 day / % change								
Length	–0.40	–0.40	–0.45	–0.40	–0.25	–0.40	–0.50	0.40
Width	–0.35	–0.25	–0.45	–0.40	–0.20	–0.10	–0.20	0.00
Thickness	–0.75	–0.10	–0.60	–0.75	–0.35	0.05	0.15	0.85
Volume	–1.50	–0.75	–1.40	–1.55	–0.80	–0.45	–0.55	1.30
93 °C, ambient RH 7 day / % change								
Length	–0.15	0.10	–0.20	–0.20	–0.25	–0.20	–0.35	–0.20
Width	–0.20	0.20	–0.10	–0.20	–0.30	–0.30	–0.30	–0.25
Thickness	0.40	0.55	0.45	0.70	–1.10	–1.05	–1.30	0.05
Volume	0.15	0.90	0.15	0.30	–1.60	–1.50	–2.00	–0.35
–40 °C, ambient RH 7 day / % change								
Length	–0.10	0	–0.10	–0.10	0	–0.20	–0.20	–0.15
Width	–0.05	0	–0.05	–0.10	0.10	0.05	–0.05	–0.10
Thickness	0.10	–0.35	0	0	0.10	0.05	0.60	0
Volume	0	–0.40	–0.20	–0.15	0.15	–0.10	0.35	0.10
Flammability testing								
Bayer alpha tunnel								
FSC_{48}	21	28	25	25	29	28	27	34
Smoke	143	40	69	69	91	121	102	81
UL 723 (2.5 inches thick)						Not tested	Not tested	
CFS	19.4	22.9	20.3	22.8	24.2			33.0
FSI	20	25	20	25	25			35
SDI	160	139	115	90	120			120

* Pentane control

less, all values are reported for analysis and comparison since the collection protocols were identical and no particular protocol has been adopted by the Polyisocyanurate Insulation Manufacturers Association (PIMA) as standard. However, PIMA has announced that LTTR values reported in 2014 under the QualityMark Certification program are to be measured in accordance with either CAN/ULC S770-09 or ASTM C-1303-11 as required by revised ASTM C-1289 (C-1289-11) Standard Specification for Faced Rigid Cellular Polyisocyanurate Thermal Insulation Board. Polyiso insulation certified under this program in 2014 will have a design R-value of 5.7.

4. Formulation parameters

4.1 Initial studies

Foam Supplies has published a number of articles that provide some guidance on optimizing processing and formulation parameters for use of Ecomate in boardstock applications [3, 4]. These suggested formulation modifications essentially strengthen the cell wall to minimize or eliminate the risk of dimensional instability and include increasing foam density, adding at least 0.6 % water based on total weight of reactants, and/or adding higher functionality polyols to increase crosslink density. Also, more information was disclosed at the 2012 CPI Polyurethanes Technical Conference [5] regarding previously reported data in reference [4] revealing that Ecomate blends with hydrocarbons were used in some of those trials along with polyol and surfactant changes to obtain about a 9 % reduction in k-factor. However, many commercial US laminate boardstock producers would be reluctant to accept the 12 % increase in density and 36 % decrease in compressive strength that accompanied this impressive improvement in thermal conductivity. So Bayer and Foam Supplies worked on a project to further optimize formulations and process conditions such that the finished boards would meet ASTM C-1289 specifications at densities acceptable to the industry. Results of some preliminary screening experiments are shown in **table 2.** All formulations are based on commercially available polyester polyol, surfactants, or flame retardant. We found that 100 % Ecomate can only be used as the sole physical blowing agent with foam densities greater than about 1.95 pcf (31 kg/m^3) where the Ecomate level is less than about 4 % on total formulation weight. However, compressive strength is about 25 – 50 % lower than values for comparable pentane systems and very severe edge shrinkage was observed at lower density.

Exceptional flame spread values are obtained as shown in sample 1, but six month k-factors are quite high. Increasing water levels to about 0.6 % as in sample 7 significantly reduces shrinkage at lower foam density, but we found that blends with pentane where the Ecomate level is kept below about 3 % on total and using more isocyanante and/or higher index produces the most stable boards in the 1.70 – 1.90 pcf (28 – 30 kg/m^3) density range. We also noted that offline facer adhesion is notably worse when more than about 67 % isocyanate is present in the formulation. Sample 9 is the control, where 100 % pentane was used as the blowing agent in a higher index roofing type formulation. The roofing polyiso board in sample 8 that was blown with a 55 : 45 blend of n-pentane and Ecomate also exhibits a 15 % improvement in Bayer mini tunnel flammability test performance and only a 5 % reduction in k-factor.

4.2 Final formulations

Based on these preliminary experiments, formulations were developed for commercial wall and roofing applications to obtain the required flammability ratings in ASTM E-84 tunnel tests. Under ASTM E-84, a test material must have a Flame Spread Index (FSI) of 25 or less and a Smoke Developed Index (SDI) of 450 or less to attain a NFPA 101 Life Safety Code Class A designation. To attain a NFPA 101 Life Safety Code Class B designation under ASTM E-84, the test material must have a FSI less than or equal to 75 and an SDI of 450 or less. However, insulation that is part of an approved roofing assembly does not have to meet the smoke requirement. In this paper, we will use the terms Class A and Class B rather than Class I and Class II to avoid confusion with the Class 1 designation for steel decks. Any insulation used in exterior walls of most commercial buildings must pass the NFPA 285 – "Standard Test Method for Evaluation of Fire Propagation Characteristics of Exterior Non-Load Bearing Wall Assemblies Containing Combustible Components" per section 2603.5.5 of the IBC. Since these assemblies are full scale two story exterior walls, the combustibility of the external wall covering may demand more or less flammability resistance from the underlying insulation to pass this test. The roofing formulations were designed to produce polyiso boards that would pass the Roof Calorimeter test requirement for FM 4450 Class 1 Insulated Steel Deck Roof assemblies using a three-ply built up roof type of configuration without a cover board. Since we had not conducted this test with boards made on the pilot laminator unit in the last 17 years, somewhat conservative levels of flame retardant were used. The wall formulations and the roofing formulations are shown in **table 3.** Foam boards made with formulation A contain 100 % Ecomate as the physical blowing agent, controls made with formulations B and H contain 100 % n-pentane, and those made with formulations C – G contain 55 % n-pentane and 45 % Ecomate.

As illustrated in **table 3,** laminate boards can be made that do not shrink during production over a range of foam core densities

Fig. 2: *Layout diagram for Bayer foam insulation panels over steel deck (FM4450)*



from 2.2–1.7 pcf (35–27 kg/m³) using Ecomate or Ecomate/hydrocarbon blends and they meet dimensional stability requirements of ASTM C 1289-11 for Type II products where the maximum linear change allowed for length and width is 2 % and the maximum change allowed for thickness is 4 %. In order to meet IBC requirement for continuous exterior wall insulation with a FSI of 25 or less and a SDI of 450 or less, Bayer has found that hydrocarbon blowing agents with LEL values below about 2 % must be limited to less than about 4 % by weight in the foam as exemplified by control formulation B in **table 3.** Since Ecomate has a LEL of 5 %, it can be used alone as in formulation A to obtain a FSI of 20 or in combination with pentane to extend the density range for producing Class A polyiso boards. Furthermore, we found less than 4 % of the flame retardant TCPP is needed to achieve a Class A rating. Calculated Flame Spread (CFS) is the value reported for the test result before it is indexed to the tunnel red oak calibration standard.

The Bayer FSC_{48} number historically is most closely correlated with the UL CFS value, but foams made with Ecomate appear to perform somewhat better than expected. There is a 15 % reduction in compressive strength for formulation A relative to the control at 7 % higher density, but values for formulations C and D made with the blowing agent blend are normal for a 1.8 pcf (29 kg/m³) foam made at about 300 index on the Bayer laminator. Compressive strengths for foams made on this laminator are typically 15–25 % lower than those obtained on a commercial unit. But all foams in **table 3** meet the minimum requirement of 16 psi for PIR laminate foam based on the pilot machine trial data alone.

Use of the roofing formulations in **table 3** also resulted in rigid foam boards that did not shrink during production and they also meet the dimensional stability requirements of the standard. Attempts to make stable foams in this density range at 255 index were unsuccessful since slight edge shrinkage occurred even though the Ecomate content in the foam was less than 2 %. As expected, the compressive strengths for formulations E and F are higher than control H since they contain more isocyanate at a much higher index. All Formulations in **table 3** would be expected to meet ASTM C 1289 compressive strength requirements in commercial production. The most surprising observation about the data is that formulation E boards were rated Class A even though we expected them to have a flame spread index greater than 25 based on the Bayer FSC_{48} number of 29. Formulations F and G should yield product that is comparable to or better than formulation E in Steiner tunnel performance. Obviously, significant reductions in flame retardant levels would be possible since only a Class B rating as observed for control H is necessary. However, polyiso boards for roofing applications must also pass the FM4450 Roof Calorimeter test in an appropriate assembly.

Formulation % on total	E	F	G	H*
PS 2352	24.93	24.85	28.16	31.79
TCPP	3.99	3.98	4.00	4.01
Tegostab B-8513	0.62	0.62	0.70	0.79
Potassium octoate	1.41	1.41	1.41	1.42
Potassium acetate	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.23
PMDETA	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.11
Water	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.16
n-Pentane	3.19	3.35	3.07	5.80
Ecomate	2.61	2.74	2.51	
Total polyol side	37.21	37.41	40.33	44.31
Mondur 489	62.79	62.59	59.67	55.69
Index	3.53	3.53	3.00	2.50
Physical properties				
Board thickness / inches	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Density / pcf				
Core foam	1.76	1.68	1.76	1.73
Overall foam	1.92	1.87	1.94	1.94
Compressive strength @ 10 % / psi	16	16	14	14
Flammability testing				
Bayer muffle furnace				
Height retention %	61	60	64	44
Weight lost %	47	45	48	50
No char / inches	0.31	0.32	0.23	0.00
FM calorimeter, fuel contribution rates / Btu/ft²/min				
3 min (410 max)	262	229	203	182
5 min (390 max)	219	226	187	175
10 min (360 max)	179	198	156	152
30 min average (285 max)	150	162	129	137
* Pentane control				

Tab. 4: *FM4450 Roof Calorimeter results*

5. Factory Mutual FM4450 Roof Calorimeter test

Roofing formulations E–H were tested in assemblies that were built by personnel at Factory Mutual's test laboratory in West Glocester, RI, USA, comprised of the following layered sequence:

1. Approved 18 gauge steel deck.
2. Rigid foam roof insulation samples with standard black facer, mechanically attached to the deck.
3. 3 ply organic felt built-up roof with hot asphalt applied at 25 lbs per 100 square feet (~11 kg/9,3 m²)
4. 60 lbs (~27 kg) flood coat of asphalt.

The layout for installation of these roof insulation boards was slightly different from the conventional diagram based on 48 inches wide commercial product and is shown in **figure 2.** In the conventional assembly, a

36 inches (~91 cm) wide panel and 24 inches (~61 cm) wide panel form a single vertical seam in the assembly, but the installation used for testing of roofing assemblies made with foams from the pilot laminator require that two vertical seams using two 24 inches (~61 cm) wide boards and a single 12 inches (~30 cm) wide panel be used because the machine made boards with a maximum width of 30 inches (~76 cm). No thermal barrier was used between the deck and foam insulation and no cover board was used on top of the foam insulation. It is very difficult to pass with this configuration assembly and its severity is only surpassed by one in which fiberglass felts are used rather than organic felts since the glass fibers are porous and therefore more likely to allow melting asphalt to seep through the covering to the insulation and seams.

As previously mentioned, the Bayer muffle furnace test is used as a very rough predictive guide to performance in the Roof Calorimeter test and results for the Bayer test and the FM Calorimeter are found in **table 4.** Flame retardant level was set at 4 % in order to achieve 40–50 % height retention for the 100 % pentane control formulation H as is customary in our labs for new systems. Based on the muffle furnace values, all samples would be expected to pass the Roof Calorimeter test and the predicted ranking would be for the higher index formulations E and F to be more thermally stable and perform better than formulations G and H. In the Roof Calorimeter test, limit values are set for heat contribution from the roof assembly to the fire in the pit below it at 3 min (410 Btu/ft^2/min), 5 min (390 Btu/ft^2/min), and 10 min (360 Btu/ft^2/min) intervals. The average heat contribution over the entire 30 min duration of the test cannot exceed 285 Btu/ft^2/min. All of our samples passed this test with heat contribution values that were 36–58 % below the limit values and this observation means that further reductions in the flame retardant levels are possible. However, unlike the Steiner tunnel test, Ecomate/n-pentane blends do not improve performance in the Roof Calorimeter evaluation and there is a slightly negative but negligible effect on the thermal stability of the higher index formulations E and F that contain more Ecomate in the foam.

6. Thermal conductivity results

The data presented in this paper demonstrates that properly formulated Ecomate and Ecomate/n-pentane blends can offer significant improvements in the behavior of PIR laminate boardstock in some flammability tests. Results in **table 2** indicate some potential issues with thermal conductivity aging performance in rigid foam insulation produced with these blowing agents, despite Ecomate's better lambda value reported in **table 1.** No accelerated aging studies were done in our preliminary experiments, so formulations A, D, F, and pentane control H that are representative of wall and roofing applications were tested for LTTR in accordance with CAN/ULC S770-09 and the resultant data is shown in **table 5.** All formulations were used to prepare 3 inches boards (~7.6 cm) and LTTR values for 4 inches (~10 cm) and 2 inches (~5 cm) equivalent thickness products were calculated as well. The 2.21 pcf (35 kg/m^3) boards made with formulation A contained a higher silicone strongly nucleating surfactant commonly used for rigid foam insulation in the appliance industry rather than the conventional roofing surfactant used in the other lower density (≈1.70 pcf (~27 kg/m^3)) boards. Initial R-values for all the Ecomate formulations were only about 2 % lower than that for the pentane control and the one month R-values for the 100 % Ecomate and 100 % pentane formulations were essentially unchanged. However, LTTR is the most critical parameter of insulation performance for rigid foam laminated with air-permeable facers for roofing. The number represents the predicted five-year R-value for the insulation and this five-year value has been determined to be equal to the time-weighted 15 year average R-value for the rigid foam insulation product. So by this measure of insulation performance, the 100 % Ecomate 3 inches (~7.6 cm) board is expected to lose about 23 % its thermal resistance, the Ecomate/n-pentane blend boards are expected to lose about 16–19 % their thermal resistance, and the pentane control boards are expected to lose about 11 %. The best Ecomate foam for thermal aging using standard test methods became the worst foam using thin slices to accelerate aging. It should be noted that no design experiments to optimize k-factor performance were included in this study and it is likely that surfactant, polyol type, and hydrocarbon co-blowing agent type and level may reduce or eliminate this aging difference. In fact, Foam Supplies has conducted European laminator trials with proprietary Ecomate/hydrocarbon blends and found that they can improve initial k-factors, compressive strengths, and dimensional stability with proper choice of surfactants and polyols **(tab. 6).** Nevertheless, Bayer conducted more experiments to try to obtain a better understanding of the dramatic effect that accelerated aging using slicing techniques had on the relative differences in foams prepared with and without Ecomate.

▼ ***Tab. 5:*** *Thermal conductivity performance of Ecomate foams*

Formulation ID	Pentane/Ecomate ratio	S770-09 LTTR values					Standard sample aging		
		R-value check	Aging factor check	4 inches	3 inches	2 inches	Initial R-value*	1 month	3 month
A	0 % / 100 %	Fail – 20 %	Fail – 16 %	4.72	4.80	4.81	6.25	6.21	5.99
D	55 % / 45 %	Fail – 16 %	Fail – 15 %	5.18	5.11	5.12	6.27	6.10	5.85
F	55 % / 45 %	Pass – 11 %	Pass – 10 %	5.33	5.24	5.21	6.26	6.02	5.81
H	100 % / 0 %	Fail – 13 %	Pass – 6 %	5.78	5.69	5.59	6.39	6.45	6.37

*Average of five samples at 75 °F as per S770-09

7. Analysis of blowing agent concentration changes in PIR foam

Another set of 3 inches (~7.6 cm) boards based on wall formulation D and roofing formulations F and H were prepared on the laminator along with modified formulations D1 and F1 where the n-pentane/Ecomate weight ratio was changed to 47.2 : 52.8 from the 55 : 45 ratio used in the parent systems. K. Ashida [6, 7] has found that molar blends of n-pentane and methyl formate produce the lowest foam density at the azeotropic composition for the blend, i. e., 47.2 : 52.8 by weight, which has a boiling point of 21.7 °C (71 °F). Since foams in the paper were prepared using a free-rise laboratory box procedure, we wanted to find out if the binary azeotrope would show the same effect on the laminator and if the azeotropic blend might improve thermal aging of the foam. Ashida reported that box foams made with this blend had slightly lower initial thermal conductivity than with pure n-pentane but experienced more than twice as much loss in thermal resistance over a two month period. Incidentally, similar observations were made for the azeotropic blend of cyclopentane and methyl formate (34 : 66 weight ratio) which is claimed in US Patent 5,336,696. For each board formulation, a 12 inches x 12 inches x 1 inches (~30,5 cm x 30,5 cm x 2.5 cm) core sample was cut after the stack had cooled overnight to obtain an initial k-factor for the core. This sample was allowed to age for three weeks in our temperature and humidity controlled physical testing lab. Two 24 inches x 24 inches x 3 inches (~61 cm x 61 cm x 7.6 cm) faced sample retains were stored as usual on edge in contact with each other in a tight arrangement on shelving in the laminator area. At the end of three weeks, a freshly cut 12 inches x 12 inches x 1 inches (~30,5 cm x 30,5 cm x 2.5 cm) core sample was taken from one retain sample six inches from any edge for a k-factor measurement to obtain a core retain value. Although the retains were not wrapped, any core samples taken from them should have experienced only minimal aging with limited loss of blowing agent in that three week period.

▼ ***Tab. 6:*** *FSI European laminator trials with proprietary Ecomate blends*

Formulation % on polyol blend	BA blend B	BA blend C	Ecomate	BA blend A
Polyol	66.32			
TCPP	14.74			
Surfactant	1.84			
PIR catalyst 1	1.25			
PIR catalyst 2	0.29			
Tertiary amine	0.22			
Water	0.59			
Blowing agent	14.74			
Index	3.00			
Physical properties				
Board thickness / inches	2	2	2	2
Density core foam / pcf	2.06	2.07	2.07	1.72
Compressive strength @ 10 % / psi normalized to 1.85 pcf density	23.2	21.8	20.4	24.1
K-factor @ 50 °F (10 °C)				
24 h	0.141	0.135	0.145	0.140
168 days	0.152	0.147	0.165	0.148
Dimensional stability 7 days (4" x 4" x 1")				
Dry volume / % change	–0.2	–1.9	–2.2	–0.7
Humid volume / % change	2.4	1.5	–10.6	–1.1
Freezer volume / % change	0.5	–0.6	3.5	–0.6
Dimensional stability 28 days (4" x 4" x 1")				
Dry volume / % change	0.5	–0.8	No data	–0.2
Humid volume / % change	2.1	3.1	No data	1.2
Freezer volume / % change	0.2	–1.5	No data	–1.4

So the blowing agent concentration retained in the core should be nearly identical to that of the original initial core sample. Immediately after measuring all k-factors on the same day after three weeks, small pieces of foam were taken from the center of each core sample and treated with an extraction solvent containing an internal standard. The extracts were injected onto a GC column to determine the amount of pentane and methyl formate left in the foam sample. This method has been used by Bayer to measure total blowing agent concentration in foam and does not attempt to differentiate between distributions in the gas phase or polymer matrix. Results of the experiment are shown in **table 7.** There was less than 3 % change in k-factor for the initial core samples and the freshly cut core retain after three weeks in all samples except D1, where the change was about 5 %. However, k-factors for all the aged samples based on Ecomate blends had increased to about 0.175 or about 14 % while the k-factor for the pentane control had only increased by 9 %. Although there is significant variance among values for changes in pentane concentration in the core retain after three weeks of storage based on the theoretical amount of the blowing agent used to make the foam, two to ten times more methyl formate is lost on a percentage basis from the foam sample. We measured the concentration of blowing agent in the retain sample to obtain a more reliable baseline for calculating changes in pentane and methyl formate concentration in the aged core samples.

Again, there was only minor change in the pentane concentration in all the samples while 19 – 36 % of methyl formate has diffused out of the foam. Headspace GC was conducted on sample D1 to look for methanol, a hydrolysis product of methyl formate, but only a trace peak barely above baseline noise was found. This data indicates that methyl formate diffuses from the foam six to ten times faster than n-pentane, perhaps by way of its increased solubility in the foam matrix as an enhanced transport mechanism. The increased dimensional instability of non-optimized rigid foams made with Ecomate blends may be accounted for by a

combination of matrix plasticization and reduced cell gas pressure that would accompany rapid loss from the foam. However, the data does not necessarily support a direct relationship between diffusion of methyl formate from the foam and increase in k-factor. The components of air (nitrogen, oxygen, water) must diffuse into the foam to increase thermal conductivity and methyl formate may affect the permeability of the cell membrane and the air diffusion rate. Singh and Coleman [8] have used mathematical models to predict thermal aging of polyiso boards using cell gas partial pressures of blowing agents and their effective diffusion coefficients. They have pointed out the key role that the "skin factor" can play in affecting LTTR values and provided a rationale for negative or positive bias with different types of foam insulation. Since there are no actual five year aged samples for comparison, we don't know how well the LTTR calculation works for Ecomate blends as blowing agents. But the "flip-flop" in the relative ranking for formulation A containing 100 % Ecomate could indicate a potential negative bias for LTTR values in such foams.

8. Summary

Ecomate and Ecomate/hydrocarbon blends can provide laminators with another useful tool to tailor the performance of the polyiso boards they produce to the requirements of the applications for which the insulation is intended. The most important benefit of this blowing agent for PIR laminate boardstock is the improved flammability resistance that allows rigid polyisocyanurate foam free of halogenated blowing agents to readily obtain FSI values of 25 or less in UL723 (ASTM E-84) Steiner tunnel tests while keeping SDI values below 450 across a broad range of foam densities. Although foams made with Ecomate tend to have more dimensional stability challenges than those made with n-pentane, proper formulation of conventional systems with appropriate levels of the blowing agent, water, and isocyanate can easily resolve these issues and any compressive strength concerns. Consequently, polyiso boards made with Ecomate or Ecomate/hydrocarbon blends may help to meet the more stringent fire code test requirements unique to wall applications that pose new challenges for manufacturers seeking product approvals. Ecomate blown foams may also contribute to better performance in NFPA 286 – Standard Methods of Fire Tests for Evaluating Contribution of Wall and Ceiling Interior Finish to Room Fire Growth, more commonly known as the Room Corner Test for exposed interior wall insulation. For roofing applications, this blowing agent does not improve performance in the critical FM Roof Calorimeter test that is part of the FM 4450 Class 1 Insulated Steel Deck Roof assembly requirements, but it does offer the foam manufacturer the option to provide NFPA Class A or Class B foam without increasing the flame retardant level.

Of course the most important concern raised by this paper regarding Ecomate/n-pentane blends is the 8–10 % reduction in aged R-value relative to pentane blown rigid foam boards using the S770-09 LTTR test protocol. This observation may not be important in applications such as exterior wall insulation where foil or other impermeable facers are used and ASTM C 518 aging test protocols apply. We plan to conduct pilot laminator trials with such facer material in

▼ **Tab. 7:** *Comparative loss of blowing agents from foam*

Formulation % on total	H*	F	F1	D	D1
PS 2352	32.00	24.97	25.01	22.59	22.61
TCPP	4.03	4.00	4.00	3.83	3.83
Tegostab B-8513	0.80	0.62	0.63	0.56	0.57
Potassium octoate	1.43	1.41	1.42	1.67	1.67
Potassium acetate	0.23	0.22	0.23	0.26	0.26
PMDETA	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.13
Water	0.16	0.12	0.13	0.45	0.45
n-Pentane	5.18	3.10	2.60	1.97	1.66
Ecomate		2.53	2.91	1.61	1.86
Total polyol side	43.94	37.10	37.01	33.08	33.04
Mondur 489	56.04	62.90	62.99	66.92	66.96
Index	2.50	3.53	3.53	3.10	3.10
Physical properties					
Board thickness / inches	3	3	3	3	3
Density / pcf					
Core foam	1.70	1.67	1.68	1.76	1.73
Overall foam	1.78	1.75	1.76	1.82	1.80
Compressive strength @ 10 % (psi)	16	14	13	16	14
1" Core sample analysis					
K-factor measurement @ 75 °F (Btu·in/h·ft²·°F)					
Initial value	0.148	0.152	0.154	0.155	0.151
Retain value three weeks	0.152	0.154	0.158	0.157	0.159
Aged core value three weeks	0.162	0.174	0.175	0.176	0.175
Blowing agent concentration in foam					
Core retain three weeks					
Pentane %	5.66	2.81	2.56	2.18	1.78
Ecomate %	0	1.78	2.49	1.14	1.58
Aged core three weeks					
Pentane %	5.58	2.81	2.38	2.11	1.73
Ecomate %	0	1.18	1.58	0.92	1.08
Change in blowing agent concentration					
Core retain three weeks					
Pentane on theory %	9.3	–9.4	–1.5	10.7	7.2
Ecomate on theory %	0	–29.6	–14.4	–29.2	–15.1
Aged core three weeks					
Pentane based on retain %	–1.4	0.0	–7.0	–3.2	–2.8
Ecomate based on retain %	0	–33.7	–36.5	–19.3	–31.6

* Pentane control

the future. However, the largest market for PIR laminate boardstock is roofing insulation and additional work will have done to determine if various combinations of polyols, surfactants, or other additives can influence thermal aging in foams blown with Ecomate or Ecomate/n-pentane blends so that they can meet the design R-value of 5.7. Since Bayer has no data regarding scale-up of these types of formulations to commercial units, we do not know if the differential performance of pentane with regard to LTTR would be maintained with boards from commercial laminator trials.

9. References

[1] J. Kemp "Canada's Tar Sands Unexpected Winner from Fracking," Reuters.com, 27 June 2012.

[2] CAN/ULC S770-09. 2009. "Standard test Method for Determination of Long-Term Thermal Resistance of Closed-Cell Thermal Insulating Foams," Underwriters Laboratories of Canada, Ontario, Canada.

[3] J. Murphy and D. Jones. 2006. "Ecomate – A Revolutionary yet Economical Blowing Agent," presented at the Blowing Agents and Foaming Processes 2006 Rapra Conference, 16–17 May 2006.

[4] J. Murphy and D. Modray. 2011. "The Benefits of Optimization in Ecomate. Blown Insulating Foams," presented at the CPI 2011 Polyurethanes Technical Conference, 26–28 September 2011.

[5] J. Murphy. 2012. "Blowing Agent Blends for Refrigeration – The Next Paradigm," presented at the CPI 2012 Polyurethanes Technical Conference, 24–26 September 2012.

[6] K. Ashida, K. Morimoto, and A. Yufu. 1995. "Zero ODP, Zero GWP, Halogen-Free, and Low K-Factor Azeotropes as Blowing Agents for Isocyanate-Based Foams," Journal of Cellular Plastics, 31(4):330–355.

[7] K. Ashida. 2006. Polyurethanes and Related Foams: Chemistry and Technology. CRC Press, pp. 27–34.

[8] S. Singh and P. Coleman. 2007. "Accelerated Aging Test Methods for Predicting the Long Term Thermal Resistance of Closed-Cell Foam Insulation," presented at the CPI 2007 Polyurethanes Technical Conference, 24–26 September 2007.

KraussMaffei driving growth in China

During Chinaplas 2014 the KraussMaffei Group has officially inaugurated the second production hall at its manufacturing plant in Haiyan in the Shanghai area. As part of the expansion of the plant, the production space has been doubled and now covers 22,000 m². By doing so the company is continuing its expansion strategy in China, where it sees considerable growth potential for its brands KraussMaffei, KraussMaffei Berstorff, and Netstal. Furthermore, the group was pleased to announce a cooperation with a leading Chinese automotive component supplier.

KraussMaffei said that expanding its plant in Haiyan shows that the company is responding early to the changing requirements of the market and thereby increasing its competitiveness. "By doubling our production capacity to 22,000 m², we are accommodating the increasing demand from China and Asia for products that are manufactured locally, while drawing on our considerable European expertise and meeting our high standards of quality," **Jan Siebert,** CEO of the KraussMaffei Group said.

Nicolas Beyl, President of the reactive machinery segment of KraussMaffei Group, revealed that the Chinese automotive supplier **NBHX** is investing in a turnkey high-pressure resin transfer moulding system. As NBHX expects that lightweight construction will see above-average growth in China, it has invested in the RTM technology from the German machinery supplier. Recently, a high-pressure **RimStar Compact 8/4** RTM machine was commissioned for manufacturing epoxy resin parts, and a second **RimStar Compact 8/8** RTM machine for parts with a PU matrix. Added to these are an **MX** mould carrier (mould fixing area 3,000 x 2,000 mm, clamping force 600 t) and a prototype mould.

The General Manager of NBHX's R&D department, **Dr. Karsten Brast,** attaches great strategic importance to this investment in the new machines and systems from KraussMaffei: "Based on the feedback from several customers, we are confident that, with the HP-RTM technology, we are on the right path. We have already noticed great interest in lightweight components from OEMs and expect to start to use these in series production, step by step." On the KraussMaffei systems, NBHX is gaining experience in the manufacture of structural and visible components in the form of composites that are reinforced with carbon fibre or fibreglass.

NBHX is one of the biggest suppliers for interior and exterior plastic parts in China and employs about 9,700 people. The company furthermore produces metal parts and moulds.

The MX mould carrier has a fixing area of 3,000 x 2,000 mm and a clapming force of 600 t.